Preserved

Research

App. F. IX-4

1936-37

(C.S.)

File No. 10/36

Previous References

Serial No. 1

Letter References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial No. 1

Part B for July 1937

SUBJECT.

Reading Letter No. 7660-37/R.O.II dt 20.7.37 re royalty payable by the Government of Mysore for utilising the power of the Cauvery Falls at Sivasamudram.

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29-8-36

CB 2034

Re: Sivasamudram Royalty.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Letter No 7.460-37/R.O.II d 25.5.37
from the Secy. to the Resident
Intimates that the Hon'ble the
Resident has no doubt that Govt
will agree that it will be preferable
that any points of importance such
as that raised in ~~your~~ our letter d 26.4
shall continue to be discussed in
correspondence through the Resident

"For perusal.

4. The point raised in the letter will be noted on in consultation with the Secy. PWD. "

(Sd) S.T.K.
26/5/37

5. "I don't agree with the Resident's view. I will speak to him. No reply need go to this letter."

(Sd) M.M.J
26.5.37.

6. Resubmitted as per instructions.

MS
29/5

Decision) 7. On further consideration, I think it better to send a formal reply to the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—30,000—8-9-36

Serial No.

the Residency to say that the Govt. of Mysore regret that they cannot agree with the views expressed in their letter for reasons of a practical nature. It was hardly possible to adopt it, for instance, in a case like the present one. The negotiations which have been carried on with Mysore, both personally and demi-officially, would not have achieved the results they have, if they had been carried on officially through the Residency

8 The Residency will only come in when a reference has to be made to the Govt of India or when the final stage is reached. Govt of Mysore trust that the Govt of India will approach their point of view.

9 The Secy may kindly explain the attitude of the Secy to R. before sending in the letter.

JM
31-5-37 C.B. Satapathy

10. Draft put up for consideration.

Cyr 2/6/37 11. I talked to Mr Trevelyan and mentioned to him the views expressed in the Dewan's minute supra. Mr Trevelyan agreed that the communication sent from the Residency

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

CB 2034.

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

had not been made quite clear and that all that had been intended to communicate was that when questions of main principles were involved, it would be helpful if the communications go through the Residency, as then, the Resr could add the weight of their support & the views of this Govt. He also agreed that the action taken by our Govt in this particular instance was quite correct and he would be glad if the same procedure is adhered to. He also told me that in view of our conversation, no reply to the Resr. communication was necessary.

12. For orders, if in view of the above, the draft put up should issue.

Dewan) No, no necessity in view of above. MS 11-6-37

MS 10.6.37

MS 12/6

Submitted for ~~papers~~ perusal

These papers may be noted in the P.P. Section and extracts taken for reference in PP.

CJA 11/6/37) P.P. Section

Under Secy) 12/6

Extracts taken on CB

Extracts taken and passed to file register

DEWAN'S OFFICE 1 JUNE 1937 No. 629

Electrical Secrett for kind information. The papers relate to that Secrett. may be retained

CJA 16/6/37

Returned with extracts after taking my instructions.

MS 17.6.37

Hut and record

CJA 1/7/37

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

CONFIDENTIAL.

CB 2034

No. F.460-37
R.O. II

CD. 10-36-1

FROM

DEWAN'S OFFICE
26 MAY 1937
No. 5308

THE SECRETARY TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 25th May *193*7.

ROYALTY PAYABLE BY THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE FOR UTILISING THE POWER OF THE CAUVERY FALLS AT SIVASAMUDRAM.

SIR,

I am directed to refer to your letter No. Pol.1354, dated the 26th April 1937. The question which you raised in that letter has been referred to the Government of Madras and their answer will be sent to you as soon as it is received Certain correspondence regarding the agreement has passed between the two Public Works Departments of the Madras and the Mysore Governments. The Hon'ble the Resident has no doubt that you will agree that whereas there is no objection to technical points in the agreement being dealt with in this way, it will be preferable that any points of importance such as that raised in your letter under reference shall continue to be discussed in correspondence between the two Governments through the Resident in Mysore.

(Shown to Secy. PWD) copy to Hemmusaib

For perusal.

2. The point raised in the letter will be noted on in consultation with the Secy P.W.D.

MK 25/5

3.) Will agree with Mr Resident's view - Sale Speak to Dewan) not go this calls.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H Rangayya

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

BJ

2-6-37

MP

N.C. issued

C.S. 10-86-

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the
Resident in Mysore.

Subject:- Royalty payable by the Government of Mysore for utilising the power of the Cauvery Falls at Sivasamudram.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. F.460-37/R.O.II, dated the 25th May 1937.

I am to state that the Mysore Government regret that they cannot entirely agree with the views expressed in your letter, regarding the procedure for carrying on correspondence between the Mysore and Madras Governments for reasons of a practical nature. It was hardly possible to adopt the procedure suggested, for instance, in a case like the present one. The negotiations which have been carried on with Madras personally and demi-officially in this matter, would not have achieved the results they have, if they had been carried on officially through the Residency. When a reference has to be made to the Government of India or when the final stage is reached, further action will of course be taken only through the Residency. But the adoption of such a course in respect of the preliminary negotiations and the clarification of issues that formed the subject of personal discussion between the representatives of the two Governments would ~~lead to hamper a speedy settlement~~ be inconvenient and also not be conducive to a speedy settlement of the question and

Sir, to abbroval

In the circumstances explained above, the Government of Mysore trust that the Hon'ble the Resident will attached their point of view.

I have etc.,

10/6

Chief Secy. to Govt.